



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

KACVINSKY LLC  
C/O INITELLEVATES  
P O BOX 52050  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

COPY MAILED

NOV 06 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :  
Steve Adams et al :  
Application No. 09/823,459 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION  
Filed: March 20, 2001 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)  
Attorney Docket No. 1020.P10679 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed March 14, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed June 8, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on September 9, 2005.

The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that (1) the reply (request for continued examination, \$790 fee therefor, and submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114), (2) the \$1,500 petition fee, and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay have been received. Accordingly, the reply to the final Office action of June 8, 2005 is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such

inquiry results in the discovery that the delay was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center AU 2616 for processing the request for continued examination and for appropriate action in the normal course of business on the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Frances Hicks".

Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions